

# Faculty Research Lecturer 2016 - 2017

## Guidelines and Procedures

Committee on Faculty Research Lectureship  
Academic Senate  
University of California, Santa Barbara



**Purpose:**

The Faculty Research Lectureship is the highest honor the UCSB faculty can bestow on one of its members. The purpose of the award is to accord Academic Senate members the high recognition that is their due, and further to give faculty, students, and the citizens of the UCSB community an opportunity to understand the scholarly achievements and points of view of those whom we honor.

**Eligibility:**

All members of the UCSB Academic Senate are eligible for nomination.

**Criteria:**

In reviewing many exceptional nominees, the Committee on Faculty Research Lectureship gives primary consideration to:

- Extraordinary and/or high impact achievements in research and scholarly work
- Outstanding professional competence and international recognition in a field
- Ability to present a lecture of interest to a broad community of scholars and, in general, to a cultivated public

**Reviewer Guidelines:**

Research and creative accomplishments should be evaluated in the context of the faculty member's overall record of his/her intellectual growth, and of the contribution his/her work makes to his/her discipline. There should be evidence of continued and effective engagement in work that is of high quality and significance. The committee considers the academic quality of established research, but also considers the active and ongoing contributions of the nominees, especially those who have established new directions for scholarship.

In certain fields such as art, architecture, dance, music, literature, and drama, distinguished creativity will receive consideration equivalent to that accorded to distinction attained in research. In evaluating artistic creativity, an attempt should be made to define the nominee's merit in light of such criteria as originality, scope, richness, and depth of creative expression. An important element of distinction is the extent of regional, national, or international recognition.

Letters of support in the nomination packet should comment on the prestige and significance of the journals, publishers, or exhibition or performance venues in the nominee's particular field, along with other accepted measures or impact in a discipline (such as citation indexes or reviews). Contributions by the nominee to the professional literature or to the advancement of professional practice or professional education should be judged as creative work when they present new ideas or incorporate original scholarly research.

Nominees should be outstanding scholars and recognized as such among leaders of their respective disciplines both within the United States and abroad. Evidence of professional competence and recognition includes such items as: (a) awards, grants, or honors bestowed by organizations or foundations; (b) invitations to lectures, present papers, review books, perform, or exhibit; (c) election to significant offices of professional or learned societies; (d) appointment as editor or referee for professional journals or other publications; or (e) requests for consultative service. Opinions expressed by extramural evaluators, and reviews of the candidate's work or citations of his/her work by other researchers also constitute evidence of professional recognition.

### **Call for Nominations:**

The selection committee consists of past recipients of the award, who represent various disciplines across campus. Therefore, nomination letters should be as clear and complete as possible, with special emphasis on how the nominee's research contributions have made a difference.

The pool of nominees should represent the full diversity of UCSB's academic contributions. The selection committee, therefore, strongly solicits nominees from departments that have not submitted nominations in recent years and from new and non-traditional, multidisciplinary fields. The nomination of women and scholars from every field is strongly encouraged, as it is especially important that the pool of nominees reflects the full scope and strength of the faculty.

Nomination packets should include the following:

- Letter of nomination setting forth the nominee's qualifications
- Current curriculum vita
- Letters of support from experts in the nominee's field, from both inside and outside the campus community
- One or two examples of the nominee's work to illustrate its distinctive quality

In the nomination letter, please furnish information on originality, creativity, and specific evidence of the nominee's influence on research in their field, including its impact on the directions of his/her discipline, on graduate students, and on the broader public.

Nominations will be considered for a period of three years, and may be appended to annually or withdrawn during this time.

### **Nomination Deadline**

Nominations must be submitted online (<https://senate.ucsb.edu/~awards>) by **5:00pm on January 25, 2017.**

A complete nomination packet may also be submitted via email to [awards@senate.ucsb.edu](mailto:awards@senate.ucsb.edu).

## **Award Notification**

The recipient of the award will be notified by the Chair of the Committee on Faculty Research Lectureship in early March and will be honored at the Faculty Legislature meeting of March 9, 2017. The award recipient will also present a lecture for the campus community in fall quarter 2017, followed by a dinner held in the recipient's honor, at which point he/she will be presented with an honorarium in the amount of \$5,000.

# UC SANTA BARBARA

## FACULTY RESEARCH LECTURERS



1955	Elmer R. Noble	Biological Sciences	1990	Guenter Ahlers	Physics
1956	Wilbur R. Jacobs	History	1991	Jeffrey Russell	History
1957	C. H. Muller	Biological Sciences	1992	James Hartle	Physics
1958	William H. Ellison	History	1993	Robert Kelley	History
1959	W. Hugh Kenner	English	1994	Michael Bowers	Chemistry
1960	Demorest Davenport	Biological Sciences	1995	Ninian Smart	Religious Studies
1961	William D. Altus	Psychology	1996	Gerald Jacobs	Psychology
1962	John E. Cushing	Biological Sciences	1997	Jacob Israelachvili	Chemical Engineering and Materials
1964	Phillip Damon	English	1998	Richard Helgerson	English
1965	C. Warren Hollister	History	1999	James Langer	Physics
1966	Garrett Hardin	Biological Sciences	2000	Francesca Bray	Anthropology
1967	Alexander DeConde	History	2001	James Kennett	Geological Sciences
1968	Clifford A. Bunton	Chemistry	2002	Shirley Geok-Lin Lim	English
1969	Ky Fan	Mathematics	2003	Michael Goodchild	Geography
1970	Thomas C. Bruice	Chemistry	2004	William Murdoch	Ecology, Evolution & Marine Biology
1971	Immanuel C. Y. Hsu	History	2005	Evelyn Hu	Electrical & Computer Engineering and Materials
1972	Ellis Englesberg	Biological Sciences	2006	Howard Giles	Communication
1973	Stuart P. Atkins	German	2007	Steven K. Fisher	Molecular, Cellular, & Developmental Biology
1974	David Premack	Psychology	2008	David Awschalom	Electrical & Computer Engineering and Physics
1975	C. Herman Pritchett	Political Science	2009	Reginald Golledge	Geography
1976	Herbert P. Broida	Physics	2010	Tsuyoshi Hasegawa	History
1977	Herbert Fingarette	Philosophy	2011	Linda Petzold	Computer Science and Mechanical Engineering
1978	Donald R. Cressey	Sociology	2012	<i>Joint Recipients:</i> Leda Cosmides	Psychological & Brain Sciences
1979	John A. Carbon	Biological Sciences		John Tooby	Anthropology
1980	Peter R. Fricker	Music	2013	John Bowers	Electrical & Computer Engineering and Materials
1981	George R. Tilton	Geological Sciences	2014	Joseph Polchinski	Physics
1982	William Dole	Art Studio	2015	Linda Putnam	Communication
1983	Douglas J. Scalapino	Physics	2016	Joseph Incandela	Physics
1984	Richard C. Exner	Germanic, Oriental, & Slavic Languages			
1985	Herbert Kroemer	Electrical & Computer Engineering			
1986	Peter Merkl	Political Science			
1987	Horia Metiu	Chemistry			
1988	Vincent Jaccarino	Physics			
1989	Bert States	Dramatic Art			